

## REMARKS ON $d$ -ARY PARTITIONS AND AN APPLICATION TO ELEMENTARY SYMMETRIC PARTITIONS

Mircea Cimpoeaș<sup>1</sup> and Roxana Tănase<sup>2</sup>

*We prove new formulas for  $p_d(n)$ , the number of  $d$ -ary partitions of  $n$ , and, also, for  $P_d(n)$ , its polynomial part.*

*Given a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ , its associated  $j$ -th symmetric elementary partition,  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda)$ , is the partition whose parts are  $\{\lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_j} : 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell\}$ . We prove that if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are two  $d$ -ary partitions of length  $\ell$  such that  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda) = \text{pre}_j(\mu)$  and  $\lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_j} = \mu_{i_1} \cdots \mu_{i_j}$ , for all  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell$ , then  $\lambda = \mu$ .*

**Keywords:** Restricted partitions,  $d$ -ary partitions, Elementary symmetric partitions.

**MSC2010:** 11P81, 11P83.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. We denote  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . A partition of  $n$  is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers  $\lambda_i$  whose sum equals  $n$ . We define  $p(n)$  as the number of partitions of  $n$  and we define  $p(0) = 1$ . We denote  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell \geq 1$  and  $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell = n$ . We refer to  $|\lambda|$  as the size of  $\lambda$  and the numbers  $\lambda_i$  as parts of  $\lambda$ . The number  $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$  is the number of parts of  $\lambda$  and it is called the length of  $\lambda$ . For more on the theory of partitions, we refer the reader to [1].

Let  $d \geq 2$  be an integer. A partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$  is called  $d$ -ary, if all  $\lambda_i$ 's are powers of  $d$ . A 2-ary partition is called binary. In Proposition 3.3 we establish a natural bijection between the set of all integer partition and the set of  $d$ -ary partitions, which conserves the length (but not the size).

In Theorem 3.5 we give a new formula for  $p_d(n)$ , the number of  $d$ -ary partitions of  $n$ , using the fact that a  $d$ -ary partition is a partition with the parts in  $\{1, d, d^2, d^3, \dots\}$ . In Theorem 3.6, we give a new formula for  $W_j(d, n)$ 's, the Sylvester waves of  $p_d(n)$ . Also, in Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8 we give new formulas for  $P_d(n) = W_1(d, n)$ , the polynomial part of  $p_d(n)$ . In Example 3.9, we illustrate these results.

---

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Faculty of Applied Sciences, National University of Science and Technology Politehnica Bucharest, Romania and Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics, Romania, e-mail: [mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro](mailto:mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro)

<sup>2</sup>Assistant professor, Faculty of Applied Sciences, National University of Science and Technology Politehnica Bucharest, Romania, e-mail: [roxana\\_elena.tanase@upb.ro](mailto:roxana_elena.tanase@upb.ro)

Now, let  $K$  be an arbitrary field and  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_\ell]$  be the ring of polynomials over  $K$  in  $\ell$  indeterminates. We recall that the  $j^{\text{th}}$  elementary symmetric polynomial of  $S$  is

$$e_j(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq \ell} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_j}, \text{ where } 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

Also, we define  $e_0(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = 1$  and  $e_j(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = 0$  for  $j > \ell$ .

Given a partition  $\lambda$ , we have  $e_j(\lambda) = 0$  if  $\ell(\lambda) < j$  and

$$e_j(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq \ell(\lambda)} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_j}, \text{ if } 1 \leq j \leq \ell(\lambda).$$

In [2, 3], Ballantine et al. introduced the following definition. Given a partition  $\lambda$ , the partition  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda)$  is the partition whose parts are

$$\{\lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_j} : 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq \ell(\lambda)\},$$

and they called it an *elementary symmetric partition*. Note that  $\text{pre}_1(\lambda) = \lambda$ , but  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda) \neq \lambda$ , for  $j \geq 2$ . For example, if  $\lambda = (3, 2, 1, 1)$ , then  $\text{pre}_2(\lambda) = (6, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1)$ .

A natural question to ask is the following: If  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are two partitions such that  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda) = \text{pre}_j(\mu)$  then is it true that  $\lambda = \mu$ ? Only the following cases are known in literature: (i)  $j = 2$  and  $m(\lambda), m(\mu) \leq 3$ , see [3, Proposition 14] and (ii)  $j = 2$  and  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are binary partitions; see [3, Proposition 15]. In Theorem 4.2 we prove that if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are two  $d$ -ary partitions of length  $\ell$  such that  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda) = \text{pre}_j(\mu)$  and  $\lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_j} = \mu_{i_1} \cdots \mu_{i_j}$ , for all  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq \ell$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ , then  $\lambda = \mu$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  be a sequence of positive integers, where  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a partition. We say that  $\lambda$  has parts in  $\mathbf{a}$  if  $\lambda_i \in \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)$ .

The *restricted partition function* associated to  $\mathbf{a}$  is  $p_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) :=$  the number of integer solutions  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i = n$  with  $x_i \geq 0$ . In other words,  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$  counts the number of partitions of  $n$  with parts in  $\mathbf{a}$ . Note that the generating function of  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$  is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) z^n = \frac{1}{(1 - z^{a_1}) \cdots (1 - z^{a_r})}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $D$  be a common multiple of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$ . Bell [5] proved that  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$  is a quasi-polynomial of degree  $k - 1$ , with the period  $D$ , that is

$$p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = d_{\mathbf{a}, k-1}(n) n^{k-1} + \cdots + d_{\mathbf{a}, 1}(n) n + d_{\mathbf{a}, 0}(n), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $d_{\mathbf{a}, m}(n + D) = d_{\mathbf{a}, m}(n)$  for  $0 \leq m \leq k - 1$  and  $n \geq 0$ , and  $d_{\mathbf{a}, k-1}(n)$  is not identically zero.

Sylvester [9],[10] decomposed the restricted partition in a sum of “waves”:

$$p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = \sum_{j \geq 1} W_j(n, \mathbf{a}), \quad (2.3)$$

where the sum is taken over all distinct divisors  $j$  of the components of  $\mathbf{a}$  and showed that for each such  $j$ ,  $W_j(n, \mathbf{a})$  is the coefficient of  $t^{-1}$  in

$$\sum_{0 \leq \nu < j, \gcd(\nu, j) = 1} \frac{\rho_j^{-\nu n} e^{\nu t}}{(1 - \rho_j^{\nu a_1} e^{-a_1 t}) \cdots (1 - \rho_j^{\nu a_k} e^{-a_k t})},$$

where  $\rho_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{j}}$  and  $\gcd(0, 0) = 1$  by convention. Note that  $W_j(n, \mathbf{a})$ ’s are quasi-polynomials of period  $j$ . Also,  $W_1(n, \mathbf{a})$  is called the *polynomial part* of  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$  and it is denoted by  $P_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** ([6, Corollary 2.10]) *We have*

$$p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \frac{D}{a_1}-1, \dots, 0 \leq j_r \leq \frac{D}{a_r}-1 \\ a_1 j_1 + \cdots + a_r j_r \equiv n \pmod{D}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \left( \frac{n - a_1 j_1 - \cdots - a_r j_r}{D} + \ell \right).$$

The *unsigned Stirling numbers* are defined by

$$\binom{n+r-1}{r-1} = \frac{1}{n(r-1)!} n^{(r)} = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left( \left[ \begin{matrix} r \\ r \end{matrix} \right] n^{r-1} + \cdots + \left[ \begin{matrix} r \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right] n + \left[ \begin{matrix} r \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right] \right). \quad (2.4)$$

**Theorem 2.2.** ([7, Proposition 4.2]) *For any positive integer  $j$  with  $j|a_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} W_j(n, \mathbf{a}) &= \frac{1}{D(r-1)!} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{\ell=1}^j \rho_j^\ell \sum_{k=m-1}^{r-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} r \\ k+1 \end{matrix} \right] (-1)^{k-m+1} \binom{k}{m-1} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \frac{D}{a_1}-1, \dots, 0 \leq j_r \leq \frac{D}{a_r}-1 \\ a_1 j_1 + \cdots + a_r j_r \equiv \ell \pmod{j}}} D^{-k} (a_1 j_1 + \cdots + a_r j_r)^{k-m+1} n^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.3.** ([6, Corollary 3.6]) *For the polynomial part  $P_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$  of the quasi-polynomial  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$  we have*

$$P_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = \frac{1}{D(r-1)!} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \frac{D}{a_1}-1, \dots, 0 \leq j_r \leq \frac{D}{a_r}-1}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{r-1} \left( \frac{n - a_1 j_1 - \cdots - a_r j_r}{D} + \ell \right).$$

The *Bernoulli numbers*  $B_\ell$ ’s are defined by  $\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} B_\ell$ . We have  $B_0 = 1$ ,  $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$  and  $B_n = 0$  is  $n$  is odd and  $n \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** ([6, Corollary 3.11] or [4, page 2]) *The polynomial part of  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$  is*

$$P_{\mathbf{a}}(n) := \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_r} \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^u}{(r-1-u)!} \sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_r = u} \frac{B_{i_1} \cdots B_{i_r}}{i_1! \cdots i_r!} a_1^{i_1} \cdots a_r^{i_r} n^{r-1-u}.$$

### 3. New formulas for the number of d-ary partitions

We fix  $d \geq 2$  an integer. We denote  $\mathcal{P}$ , the set of integer partitions, and  $\mathcal{P}_d$ , the set of  $d$ -ary partitions. Given a positive integer  $n$ , we denote  $p_d(n)$ , the number of  $d$ -ary partitions of  $n$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{P}$  be a partition. The  $d$ -exponential of  $\lambda$  is the  $d$ -ary partition:

$$\text{Exp}_d(\lambda) := (d^{\lambda_1-1}, \dots, d^{\lambda_\ell-1}).$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{P}_d$  be a  $d$ -ary partition. The  $d$ -logarithm of  $\lambda$  is the partition:

$$\text{Log}_d(\lambda) := (\log_d(\lambda_1) + 1, \dots, \log_d(\lambda_\ell) + 1).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** The maps  $\text{Exp}_d : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d$  and  $\text{Log}_d : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  are bijective and inverse of each other.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{P}$ . We have  $\text{Exp}_d(\lambda) = (d^{\lambda_1-1}, \dots, d^{\lambda_\ell-1})$ . Since

$$\log_d(d^{\lambda_i-1}) + 1 = \lambda_i - 1 + 1 = \lambda_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \ell,$$

it follows that  $\text{Log}_d(\text{Exp}_d(\lambda)) = \lambda$ . Similary, if  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_d$  is a  $d$ -ary partition, then it is easy to see that  $\text{Exp}_d(\text{Log}_d(\mu)) = \mu$ . Hence, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two positive integers such that  $n < d^{k+1}$ . The number of  $d$ -ary partitions of  $n$  is

$$p_d(n) = p_{(1,d,\dots,d^k)}(n).$$

In particular, the polynomial part of  $p_d(n)$  is  $P_d(n) = P_{(1,d,\dots,d^k)}(n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$  be a  $d$ -ary partition of  $n$ , that is  $n = |\lambda|$ . It follows that  $\lambda_i = d^{c_i}$  with  $0 \leq c_i$  and  $d^{c_i} \leq n$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Since  $\lambda_1 = d^{c_1} \leq |\lambda| < d^{k+1}$  and  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_\ell$ , it follows that

$$k \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_\ell \geq 0,$$

and, therefore,  $\lambda$  is a partition with parts in  $(1, d, \dots, d^k)$ . On the other hand, any partition with parts in  $(1, d, \dots, d^k)$  is a  $d$ -ary partition. Hence, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two positive integers such that  $n < d^{k+1}$ . The number of  $d$ -ary partitions of  $n$  is

$$p_d(n) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq d^k-1, 0 \leq j_2 \leq d^{k-1}-1, \dots, 0 \leq j_k \leq d-1 \\ j_1 + j_2 d + \dots + j_k d^{k-1} \equiv n \pmod{d^k}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \left( \frac{n - j_1 - j_2 d - \dots - j_k d^{k-1}}{d^k} + \ell \right).$$

*Proof.* According to Lemma 3.4, we have  $p_d(n) = p_{(1,d,\dots,d^k)}(n)$ , where  $k = \lfloor \log_d(n) \rfloor$ . Hence, the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.1, taking  $r = k + 1$  and  $D = \text{lcm}(1, d, \dots, d^k) = d^k$ .  $\square$

From Lemma 3.4 and (2.3) we can write

$$p_d(n) = \sum_{j \geq 1} W_j(d, n), \text{ where } W_j(d, n) = W_j(n, (1, d, \dots, d^k)),$$

and  $k = \lfloor \log_d(n) \rfloor$ . In particular, the polynomial part of  $p_d(n)$  is

$$P_d(n) = W_1(d, n).$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two positive integers such that  $n < d^{k+1}$ . We have that*

$$W_j(d, n) = \frac{1}{k!d^k} \sum_{m=1}^{k+1} \sum_{\ell=1}^j \rho_j^\ell \sum_{s=m-1}^k \binom{k+1}{s+1} (-1)^{s-m+1} \binom{s}{m-1} \times \\ \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq d^k-1, \dots, 0 \leq j_k \leq d-1 \\ j_1+dj_2+\dots+d^{k-1}j_{k-1} \equiv \ell \pmod{j}}} d^{-ks} (j_1 + dj_2 + \dots + d^{k-1}j_{k-1})^{s-m+1} n^{m-1}.$$

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two positive integers such that  $n < d^{k+1}$ . The polynomial part of  $p_d(n)$  is*

$$P_d(n) = \frac{1}{k!d^k} \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq d^k-1, 0 \leq j_2 \leq d^{k-1}-1, \dots, 0 \leq j_k \leq d-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \left( \frac{n - j_1 - j_2 d - \dots - j_k d^{k-1}}{d^k} + \ell \right).$$

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two positive integers such that  $n < d^{k+1}$ . The polynomial part of  $p_d(n)$  is*

$$P_d(n) = \frac{1}{d^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}} \sum_{u=0}^k \frac{(-1)^u}{(k-u)!} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_{k+1}=u} \frac{B_{i_1} \cdots B_{i_{k+1}}}{i_1! \cdots i_{k+1}!} d^{i_2+2i_3+\dots+ki_{k+1}} n^{k-u}.$$

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**Example 3.9.** (1) Let  $n = 8$  and  $d = 3$ . Since  $n < d^{1+1}$ , Theorem 3.5 implies

$$p_3(8) = \frac{1}{1!} \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq 2, j_1 \equiv 8 \pmod{3}} \left( \frac{8 - j_1}{3} + 1 \right) = \frac{8 - 2}{3} + 1 = 3.$$

Also, from Theorem 3.7 it follows that the polynomial part of  $p_3(8)$  is

$$P_3(8) = \frac{1}{1! \cdot 3^1} \sum_{j_1=0}^2 \left( \frac{8 - j_1}{3} + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{j_1=0}^2 (11 - j_1) = \frac{11 + 10 + 9}{9} = \frac{10}{3}.$$

(2) Let  $n = 20$  and  $d = 3$ . Since  $n < d^{2+1}$ , Theorem 3.5 implies

$$p_3(20) = \frac{1}{162} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq 8, 0 \leq j_2 \leq 2 \\ j_1+3j_2 \equiv 20 \pmod{9}}} (29 - j_1 - 3j_2)(38 - j_1 - 3j_2).$$

Since the set of pairs  $(j_1, j_2)$  which satisfy the above conditions is  $\{(2, 0), (8, 1), (5, 2)\}$ , it follows that  $p_3(20) = \frac{1}{162}(28 \cdot 36 + 18 \cdot 27 + 18 \cdot 27) = 12$ .

#### 4. An application to elementary symmetric partitions

Given  $n \geq 2$  an integer, we denote by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ , the standard basis of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.  $e_i$  is the vector with 1 in the  $i$ -th position and zeros everywhere else.

Let  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$  be an integer. We consider the vectors:

$$c_i = \begin{cases} e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_j, & i = 1 \\ e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{j+1} - e_{i-1}, & 2 \leq i \leq j+1 \\ e_{i-j+1} + e_{i-j+2} + \dots + e_i, & j+2 \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

Let  $C$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix whose columns are  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ .

To better illustrate the structure of the matrix  $C$ , we present the case  $n = 6$  and  $j = 3$ :

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *With the above notations, we have that  $\det(C) = j$ .*

*Proof.* From the definition of  $C$ , we easily note that  $\det(C) = \det(A)$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a  $(j+1) \times (j+1)$  circulant matrix with the associated polynomial

$$f(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{j-1}.$$

For more details on circulant matrices, we refer the reader to [8].

Let  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{j+1}}$  be a primitive  $(j+1)$ -th root of unity. Using a basic result on circulant matrices, we have that  $\det(A) = \prod_{k=0}^j f(\omega^k)$ .

It is clear that  $f(\omega^0) = f(1) = j$ . On the other hand, for  $1 \leq k \leq j$ , we have that  $f(\omega^k) = 1 + \omega^k + \dots + \omega^{k(j-1)} = -\omega^{kj}$ . Therefore, it follows that

$$\det(A) = (-1)^j j \omega^{\frac{j^2(j+1)}{2}}.$$

If  $j$  is even, then

$$\omega^{\frac{j^2(j+1)}{2}} = (\omega^{j+1})^{\frac{j^2}{2}} = 1^{\frac{j^2}{2}} = 1.$$

On the other hand, if  $j$  is odd, then

$$\omega^{\frac{j^2(j+1)}{2}} = (\omega^{\frac{(j+1)}{2}})^{j^2} = (-1)^{j^2} = -1.$$

Hence, in both cases, we have that  $\det(A) = j$ . Thus, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be two  $d$ -ary partitions with  $\ell$  parts and let  $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$  be an integer. If  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda) = \text{pre}_j(\mu)$  and  $\lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_j} = \mu_{i_1} \cdots \mu_{i_j}$ , for all  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell$ , then  $\lambda = \mu$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda$  is a  $d$ -ary partition, it follows that  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$  such that  $\lambda_i = d^{c_i}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , and  $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_\ell$ . Similarly,  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell)$  with  $\mu_i = d^{c'_i}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , and  $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_\ell$ .

From the definition,  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda)$  is the partition whose parts are:

$$\{d^{c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} + \cdots + c_{i_j}} : 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell\}.$$

Similarly,  $\text{pre}_j(\mu)$  is the partition whose parts are:

$$\{d^{c'_{i_1} + c'_{i_2} + \cdots + c'_{i_j}} : 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell\}.$$

Since  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda) = \text{pre}_j(\mu)$  and  $\lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_j} = \mu_{i_1} \cdots \mu_{i_j}$ , for all  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell$ , it follows that

$$c'_{i_1} + c'_{i_2} + \cdots + c'_{i_j} = c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} + \cdots + c_{i_j}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell.$$

For convenience, we denote

$$c_{i_1, \dots, i_j} := c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} + \cdots + c_{i_j}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell.$$

From Proposition 3.3, in order to prove that  $\lambda = \mu$ , it suffices to show that  $(c_1, \dots, c_\ell) = (c'_1, \dots, c'_\ell)$ . In order to do that, it is enough to prove that the linear system

$$\left\{ x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = c_{i_1, \dots, i_j} \quad , \text{ where } 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell, \quad (4.1) \right.$$

has a unique solution. Since  $(c_1, \dots, c_\ell)$  is already a solution of (4.1), it is enough to prove that the matrix associated to (4.1) has the rank  $n$ . We consider the following subsystem of (4.1):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_j = c_{1,2,\dots,j} \\ x_2 + x_3 + \cdots + x_{j+1} = c_{2,\dots,j+1} \\ x_1 + x_3 + \cdots + x_{j+1} = c_{1,3,\dots,j+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_1 + \cdots + x_{j-1} + x_{j+1} = c_{1,\dots,j-1,j+1} \\ x_3 + x_4 + \cdots + x_{j+2} = c_{3,\dots,j+2} \\ x_4 + x_5 + \cdots + x_{j+3} = c_{4,\dots,j+3} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\ell-j+1} + \cdots + x_\ell = c_{\ell-j+1,\dots,\ell} \end{array} \right. . \quad (4.2)$$

Note that the matrix associated to (4.2) is  $C^T$ , where  $C$  was defined at the beginning of this section.

According to Lemma 4.1 we have  $\det(C^T) = \det(C) = j \neq 0$ . Hence, (4.2) has a unique solution. Thus (4.1) has also a unique solution, as required.  $\square$

## 5. Conclusions

Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $d \geq 2$  be two integers. We proved new formulas for  $p_d(n)$ , the number of  $d$ -ary partitions of  $n$ , and, also, for  $P_d(n)$ , its polynomial part.

Given  $\lambda$  a partition of length  $\ell$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ , we denote  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda)$ , its associated  $j$ -th elementary symmetric partition; see [2, 3]. Given  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  two  $d$ -ary partitions of length  $\ell$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ , we proved that if  $\text{pre}_j(\lambda) = \text{pre}_j(\mu)$  and  $\lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_j} = \mu_{i_1} \cdots \mu_{i_j}$ , for all  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq \ell$ , then  $\lambda = \mu$ , thus giving a partial positive answer to a problem raised in [2].

## REFERENCES

- [1] *G. E. Andrews*, The Theory of Partitions, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1998.
- [2] *C. Ballantine, G. Beck, M. Merca et al.*, Elementary Symmetric Partitions, Ann. Comb. (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00026-024-00731-0>.
- [3] *C. Ballantine, G. Beck, M. Merca*, Partitions and elementary symmetric polynomials: an experimental approach, Ramanujan J. **66**, 34 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-024-01001-6>.
- [4] *M. Beck, I. M. Gessel, T. Komatsu*, The polynomial part of a restricted partition function related to the Frobenius problem, Electron. J. Comb. **8(1)** (2001), N 7 (5 pages).
- [5] *E. T. Bell*, Interpolated denumerants and Lambert series, Am. J. Math. **65** (1943), 382–386.
- [6] *M. Cimpoeaș, F. Nicolae*, On the restricted partition function, Ramanujan J. **47(3)** (2018), 565–588.
- [7] *M. Cimpoeaș*, Remarks on the restricted partition function, Math. Reports **23(73)(4)** (2021), 425–436.
- [8] *A. W. Ingleton*, The Rank of Circulant Matrices, J. London Math. Soc. **31(4)** (1956), 445–460.
- [9] *J. J. Sylvester*, On the partition of numbers, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (1857), 81–85.
- [10] *J. J. Sylvester*, On subinvariants, i.e. semi-invariants to binary quantics of an unlimited order with an excursus on rational fractions and partitions, Am. J. Math. **5(1)** (1882), 79–136.